



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,038	11/24/2003	Jeff Peck	1020.P16469	6494
57035	7590	02/24/2009	EXAMINER	
KACVINSKY LLC	C/O INTELLEVATE		SHAH, PARAS D	
P.O. BOX 52050	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/722,038	PECK, JEFF
	Examiner PARAS SHAH	Art Unit 2626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PARAS SHAH. (3)_____.

(2) Andrew Aurand. (4)_____.

Date of interview: 18 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview was held in order to discuss possible claim amendments to overcome the prior art and to further advance prosecution. Specifically, amendments pertaining to the jitter buffer processes and the VAD processes occurring in parallel and the adding of a delay, which is sent to the voice codec was discussed. It was stated that the limitations are suggested and disclose in cited prior art of Gentle in view of Dowdal No agreements were reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.